

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,471	10/06/2004	Denis Joseph Carel Van Oers	NL 020328	1573	
24737	7590 01/25/2006	EXAMINER			
	TELLECTUAL PROPER	LEE, GUI	LEE, GUIYOUNG		
P.O. BOX 30 BRIARCLIF	01 F MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2875			
		DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					~~				
-		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/510,471		VAN OERS ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Guiyoung L		2875					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	ears on the	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	'S				
WHIC - Exte afte - If NO - Fail Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no even will apply and will on cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commut D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status									
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Oc</u>	ctober 2004.							
•	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election red	luirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required	if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents			-(d) or (f).					
	Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents			on No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior		• •		16				
	application from the International Bureau	•		a in this realisma. Stag	,0				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	•	• • • •	d.					
Attachmer	nt(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	ŗ	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152))				
	er No(s)/Mail Date 1004 and 0405.		(i) Other:		,				

Application/Control Number: 10/510,471 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Prelim./Amdt.

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment filed 10/06/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Montet et al. (US 6,435,704 B1).
- 4. Re claim 1: Montet discloses a lighting unit provided with a concave reflector (100) having an axis of symmetry (X in Fig. 2) and with a light emission window bounded by an edge of the reflector which surrounds the axis transversely thereto, and an elongate light source (150) which is axially arranged substantially on the axis of symmetry (See 150 and X in Fig. 2) and which is accommodated in a holder (see the holder 152 of light source) opposite the light emission window, and a cup-shaped axially positioned cap (400 in Fig. 14) serving as an optical screening means that partly surrounds the light source for intercepting un-reflected light rays, characterized in that the cap is surrounded at a distance d by a screening ring (see the ring 420 in Fig. 13 having a distance d and a height h) which extends over a height h in the direction of the light emission window.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,471 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

5. Re claim 2: Montet discloses the screening ring extends at the side facing the holder up to a plane transverse to the axis of symmetry and defined by the cup-shaped cap (col. 9, lines 10-12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montet as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Massen et al. (EP 0336478) cited by applicant.
- 8. Re claims 4-5: With regard to claimed limitations in claim 5, Montet disclose the light source is a discharge lamp. Montet does not disclose the discharge lamp is a metal halide lamp with a ceramic vessel. Massen discloses a discharge lamp having a discharge vessel and a cap. Further, Massen teaches a variety of types of electric lamp including a metal halide lamp (Specification page 2, lines 49-52) may be used in the luminaire. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute Montet's discharge lamp with a metal halide discharge lamp because of Massen' suggestion. Further, Massen discloses the reflector and light source is indetachably integrated into a lamp (See Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/510,471 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With regard to claimed limitation in claim 3, the prior art of record does not teach the screening

ring forms part of a conical surface with a maximum apex angle of 10 degree.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guiyoung Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2374. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sandra O'Shea

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

LGY